

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,722	01/04/2001	Shingo Iwasaki	041514-5103	2640
9629 7	7590 03/22/2002			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
	YLVANIA AVENUE NW N, DC 20004		BAUMEISTER, BRADLEY W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/753,722 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

lwasaki et al.

		b. William Baumeister	2815
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address
Period 1	for Reply		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	Γ TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONT	H(S) FROM
aft	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion operiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	cation.	, , ,
be - If NO	considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication.		
- Any r	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to bec e mailing date of this communication,	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 20,	2001	
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u>	is	alare pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 17-29	is	/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are allowed.
6)□	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
	Claim(s)		•
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-16 and 30-46</u>	are subject to res	triction and/or election requirement
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.	
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have		
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have		
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority data application from the International Bure et the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		(e).
Attachme	ent(s)		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	r No(s).
16) 🔲 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
17) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/753,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-14 and 30-43, drawn to an electron emission device, classified in class
     257, subclass 10.
    - IA. The embodiment of the Group I device as depicted in FIG 1, wherein the island recess includes formed thereon a continuous carbon film formed on a discontinuous metal film;
    - IB. The embodiment of the Group I device as depicted in FIG 10, wherein the island recess includes formed thereon a discontinuous carbon film formed on a discontinuous metal film;
    - IC. Claims 6 and 35, drawn to the embodiment of the Group I device as depicted in FIG 11, wherein the island recess includes formed thereon carbon distributed within a continuous metal film;
    - ID. The embodiment of the Group I device as depicted in FIG 12, wherein the island recess includes formed thereon a discontinuous metal film formed on a discontinuous carbon film;
    - IE. The embodiment of the Group I device as depicted in FIG 13, wherein the island recess is formed on a continuous carbon film and a discontinuous metal film is formed on the recess;

- IF. The embodiment of the Group I device as depicted in FIG 14, wherein the island recess is formed on a discontinuous carbon film and a discontinuous metal film is formed on the recess;
- II. Claims 15, 16, 44 and 45, drawn to an electron emission device and further including additional structures employed to form the recess, classified in class 257, subclass 10.
  - IIA. Claims 15 and 44, drawn to the electron emission device of Group I and further employing fine particles for producing the recess, as depicted, e.g., in FIGs 15-18;
  - IIB. Claims 16 and 45, drawn to the electron emission device of Group I and further employing reverse-tapered blocks for producing the recess, as depicted, e.g., in FIGs 19-24; and
  - IIC. The electron emission device of Group I and further employing a masking wall to produce the recess as depicted, e.g., in FIGs 28 and 29.
- III. Claim 46, drawn to field emission device array, provisionally classified in class 313, subclass 310.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- a. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not

Application/Control Number: 09/753,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 2815

require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because each of the combinations may employ various ordering of the metal and carbon layers and various continuity or discontinuity of these layers. The subcombination has separate utility such as in devices which employ various ones of the three additional structures for forming the device.

b. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination can be made employing various ones of the distinct species of the subcombination set forth above. The subcombination has separate utility such as (1) in a field emission device wherein the ohmic electrode is provided in the form of a plane for simultaneously addressing an entire row or column of emission devices; or alternatively (2) for making a light emitting source of a pixel valve, an electron-emitting source of an electron microscope, a surface-type electron emitting diode, an LED or an electromagnetic-wave-emitting laser diode (see specification, page 15, first full paragraph).

Application/Control Number: 09/753,722

Art Unit: 2815

- c. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as (1) in a field emission device wherein the ohmic electrode is provided in the form of a plane for simultaneously addressing an entire row or column of emission devices; or alternatively (2) for making a light emitting source of a pixel valve, an electron-emitting source of an electron microscope, a surface-type electron emitting diode, an LED or an electromagnetic-wave-emitting laser diode. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, the search required for any one group is not required for the other groups, and/or separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application also contains claims directed to various ones of the patentably distinct species of the claimed Group I and Group II inventions as defined above.
- a. If Applicant elects the Group I invention, Applicant is required under 35

  U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from species IA-IG for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently:

Application/Control Number: 09/753,722

Art Unit: 2815

- i. Claims 1, 2, 11, 14, 30, 31, 40 and 43 are generic.
- ii. Claims 3-5 and 32-34 are generic to species IA, IB and ID.
- iii. Claims 7 and 36 are generic to species ID-IF.
- iv. Claims 8 and 37 are generic to species IE and IF.
- v. Claims 9, 12, 38 and 41 are generic to species IA, IB, ID and IE.
- vi. Claims 10 and 39 are generic to species IB and ID.
- vii. Claims 13 and 42 are generic to species IA-ID.
- b. If Applicant elects the Group II invention, Applicant is required under 35

  U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from species IIA-IIC for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently no claims are generic.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/753,722 Page 7

Art Unit: 2815

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Todd Taylor on 3/17/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 09/753,722

Art Unit: 2815

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Page 8

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to the examiner, B. William Baumeister, at (703) 306-9165. The examiner

can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the Examiner is not

available, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Eddie Lee, can be reached at (703) 308-1690. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

B. William Baumeister

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2815

March 17, 2002